



# OpenFabrics Alliance

## Interoperability Logo Group (OFILG)

### February 2017 Logo Event Report

UNH-IOL – 21 Madbury Rd., Suite 100 – Durham, NH 03824 – +1-603-862-0090  
OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo Group (OFILG) – ofalab@iol.unh.edu

Ido Zemah  
Mellanox Technologies  
Beit Mellanox, 2nd Floor  
P.O. Box 586, Yokenam 20692  
Israel

Date: February 15, 2017  
Report Revision: 1.0  
OFED Version on Compute Nodes: 3.18-2  
Operating System on Compute Nodes: Scientific Linux 7.2

Enclosed are the results from OFA Logo testing performed on the following devices under test (DUTs):  
*Mellanox SX6036* *Mellanox SX6025*  
*Mellanox IS-5030* *Mellanox SX6036G*

The test suite referenced in this report is available at the UNH-IOL website. Release 2.05 (2017-06-16) was used.

<https://iol.unh.edu/ofatestplan>

The following table highlights the Mandatory tests required for the OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo for the InfiniBand Switch device class per the Test Plan & the current OpenFabrics Interoperability Logo Program (OFILP).

Test Procedures	IWG Test Status	Result/Notes
<a href="#">11.1: Link Initialization</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.2: Fabric Initialization</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.3: IPoIB Connected Mode</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.4: IPoIB Datagram Mode</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.5: SM Failover and Handover</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.6: SRP</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.2: TI NFS over RDMA</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.4: TI uDAPL</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.5: TI RDMA Basic Interoperability</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.6: TI RDMA Stress</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.7: RSocket</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.8: TI MPI – Open</a>	Mandatory	PASS

Summary of all results follows on the second page of this report.  
For Specific details regarding issues, please see the corresponding test result.

Testing Completed February 17, 2017

Stefan Oesterreich  
[soesterreich@iol.unh.edu](mailto:soesterreich@iol.unh.edu)

Adam LeBlanc  
[aleblanc@iol.unh.edu](mailto:aleblanc@iol.unh.edu)

Reviewed & Issued February 17, 2017

Bob Noseworthy  
[ren@iol.unh.edu](mailto:ren@iol.unh.edu)

## Result Summary

The Following table summarizes all results from the event pertinent to this IB device class (InfiniBand Switch).

Test Procedures	IWG Test Status	Result/Notes
<a href="#">11.1: Link Initialization</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.2: Fabric Initialization</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.3: IPoIB Connected Mode</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.4: IPoIB Datagram Mode</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.5: SM Failover and Handover</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.6: SRP</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">11.7: IB Ethernet Gateway</a>	Beta	Not Tested
<a href="#">11.8: IB FibreChannel Gateway</a>	Beta	Not Tested
<a href="#">13.1: iSER</a>	Beta	PASS
<a href="#">13.2: TI NFS over RDMA</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.4: TI uDAPL</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.5: TI RDMA Basic Interoperability</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.6: TI RDMA Stress</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.7: RSocket</a>	Mandatory	PASS
<a href="#">13.8: TI MPI – Open</a>	Mandatory	PASS

## Digital Signature Information

This document was signed using an Adobe Digital Signature. A digital signature helps to ensure the authenticity of the document, but only in this digital format. For information on how to verify this document's integrity proceed to the following site:

<http://www.iol.unh.edu/certifyDoc/>



If the document status still indicated "Validity of author NOT confirmed", then please contact the UNH-IOL to confirm the document's authenticity. To further validate the certificate integrity, Adobe 9.0 should report the following fingerprint information:

MD5 Fingerprint: 7B 9B 0C 40 55 27 86 C0 F7 4A A3 45 DB F9 40 6E  
SHA-1 Fingerprint: 03 59 97 71 28 ED 17 7F 1A 83 C5 D0 1D A8 2B 98 3E 2F 0F E7

## Report Revision History

- v1.0 Initial working copy

## Configuration Files

Description	Attachment
Scientific Linux 7.2 Configuration File	
OFED 3.18-2 Configuration File	


## Result Key

The following table contains possible results and their meanings:

Result:	Description:
<b>PASS</b>	The Device Under Test (DUT) was observed to exhibit conformant behavior.
<b>PASS with Comments</b>	The DUT was observed to exhibit conformant behavior however an additional explanation of the situation is included.
<b>Qualified PASS</b>	The DUT was observed to exhibit conformant behavior, with the exception of fault(s) or defect(s) which were previously known.
<b>FAIL</b>	The DUT was observed to exhibit non-conformant behavior.
<b>Warning</b>	The DUT was observed to exhibit behavior that is not recommended.
<b>Informative</b>	Results are for informative purposes only and are not judged on a pass or fail basis.
<b>Refer to Comments</b>	From the observations, a valid pass or fail could not be determined. An additional explanation of the situation is included.
<b>Not Applicable</b>	The DUT does not support the technology required to perform this test.
<b>Not Available</b>	Due to testing station limitations or time limitations, the tests could not be performed.
<b>Borderline</b>	The observed values of the specific parameters are valid at one extreme and invalid at the other.
<b>Not Tested</b>	Not tested due to the time constraints of the test period.

## DUT and Test Setup Information

Figure 1: The IB fabric configuration utilized for any tests requiring a multi-switch configuration is shown below.



DUT #1 Details			
Manufacturer:	Mellanox	Firmware Revision:	3.5.1006
Model:	SX6036	Hardware Revision:	X2
Speed:	FDR	Located in Host:	N/A
Firmware MD5sum:	N/A		
Additional Comments / Notes:			
Version summary: PPC_M460EX 3.4.3002 2015-07-30 20:13:15 ppc			

DUT #2 Details			
Manufacturer:	Mellanox	Firmware Revision:	9.3.8000
Model:	SX6025	Hardware Revision:	X2
Speed:	FDR	Located in Host:	N/A
Firmware MD5sum:	N/A		
Additional Comments / Notes:			

DUT #3 Details			
Manufacturer:	Mellanox	Firmware Revision:	1.1.3004
Model:	IS-5030	Hardware Revision:	X2
Speed:	QDR	Located in Host:	N/A
Firmware MD5sum:	N/A		
Additional Comments / Notes:			
Version summary: EFM_PPC_M405EX EFM_1.1.3000 2013-07-08 14:29:44 ppc			

DUT #4 Details			
Manufacturer:	Mellanox	Firmware Revision:	3.5.1006
Model:	SX6036g	Hardware Revision:	X2
Speed:	FDR	Located in Host:	N/A
Firmware MD5sum:	N/A		
Additional Comments / Notes:			
Version summary: PPC_M460EX 3.4.1110 2015-03-08 15:10:09 ppc			

## Mandatory Tests – IB Device Test Results:

### 11.1: Link Initialization

Link Initialization	Result
Part #1:	PASS
<b>Discussion:</b>	
All links established with the DUT were of the proper link speed and width.	

### 11.2: Fabric Initialization

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
All subnet managers used while testing with OFED 3.12-1 were able to correctly configure the selected topology.	

### 11.3: IPoIB Connected Mode

Subnet Manager	Part A	Part B	Part C
OpenSM	PASS	PASS	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>			
IPoIB ping, SFTP, and SCP transactions completed successfully between all HCAs; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests			

### 11.4: IPoIB Datagram Mode

Subnet Manager	Part A	Part B	Part C
OpenSM	PASS	PASS	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>			
IPoIB ping, SFTP, and SCP transactions completed successfully between all HCAs; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.			

### 11.5: SM Failover and Handover

SM Pairings	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
OpenSM was able to properly handle SM priority and state rules.	

### 11.6: SRP

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
SRP communications between all HCAs and all SRP targets succeeded while OpenSM was in control of the fabric.	

### 13.1: iSER

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	Not Tested
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
We do not have an iSER target available for this event	

### 13.2: TI NFS over RDMA

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
All DUT's were observed to successfully utilize NFS over RDMA.	

### 13.4: TI uDAPL

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
All communications using uDAPL were seen to complete successfully as described in the referenced test plan; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.	

### 13.5: TI RDMA Basic Interoperability

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
All devices were shown to correctly exchange core RDMA operations across a simple network path under nominal (unstressed) conditions; each HCA acted as both a client and a server for all tests.	

### 13.6: TI RDMA Stress

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
All IB switches were seen to properly handle a large load as indicated by the successful completion of control communications between two HCAs while all other HCAs in the fabric were used to generate traffic in order to put a high load on the switch. Each HCA acted as both a client and a server for the control connection.	

**13.7: TI RSockets**

Subnet Manager	Result
OpenSM	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>	
DUT's were observed to pass all rsockets procedures.	

**13.8: TI MPI – Open**

Subnet Manager	Part A	Part B
OpenSM	PASS	PASS
<b>Result Discussion:</b>		
HCAs were capable of running the mpirun binary in accordance to the current test plan between all other hosts.		