

ETHERNET

October 13-24, 2003 Test Period

**Clause 28 and Clause 40 Auto-Negotiation
System Level Functionality**

Test Plan Overview



Last Updated: September 18, 2003 9:51AM

*Ethernet Consortium
InterOperability Laboratory
Research Computing Center
University of New Hampshire*

*121 Technology Drive, Suite 2
Durham, NH 03824
Phone: (603) 862-0166
Fax: (603) 862-4181*

<http://www.iol.unh.edu/consortiums/fe>
<http://www.iol.unh.edu/consortiums/ge>

MODIFICATION RECORD

- August 26, 2003 – v1.2 Added section headers to delineate the various focuses of the testing, and modified group headers and test names to include location of tests within regular test suites.
- August 22, 2003 – v1.1 Media Day proposal and Questions and Comments added
- August 12, 2003 - v1.0 Initial Version

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The University of New Hampshire would like to acknowledge the efforts of the following individuals in the development of this test suite.

Eric Ackerson	University of New Hampshire
Davis Estes	University of New Hampshire
Jeremy Kent	University of New Hampshire
Jeff Lapak	University of New Hampshire

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

INTRODUCTION

Overview

The University of New Hampshire's InterOperability Laboratory (IOL) is an institution designed to improve the interoperability of standards-based products by providing an environment where a product can be tested against other implementations of a standard. This suite of tests has been developed to help implementers evaluate the functionality of their Clause 28 and 40 Auto-Negotiation based products. Though the IOL's Fast Ethernet Consortium has long been performing a Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation test suite targeting the functionality of the Auto-Negotiation state machines specified in clause 28 of IEEE 802.3, that "state machine" test suite rarely focused on system level device issues. System level issues in this context refer to interoperability problems which occur between an auto-negotiating end-user device and its link partner even though the device's Auto-Negotiation state machines and associated registers may be functioning properly. These issues typically occur as a result of a problem with the device's management. The underlying Auto-Negotiation mechanism may perform properly, but the device's management may still act or react inappropriately. While many end-user devices utilize the same underlying Auto-Negotiation mechanism (typically embedded in a PHY), different devices often have very different management and user level controls. The focus of the tests within this Auto-Negotiation Management System Test Suite is to examine the functionality of the Auto-Negotiation management entity of a device.

The tests do not determine if a product conforms to the IEEE 802.3 standard, nor are they purely interoperability tests. Rather, they provide one method to isolate problems within an auto-negotiating device. Successful completion of all tests contained in this suite does not guarantee that the tested device will operate with other auto-negotiating devices. However, satisfactory interoperation with other devices tested using this test suite combined with completion of groups two through six of this test suite provide a reasonable level of confidence that the Device Under Test (DUT) will function well in most auto-negotiating environments.

Cable Plants

The intent of interoperability testing is to insure that the DUT will perform as expected in a real-world network environment. Operating conditions in a real world network are often variable. Each technology has a standard, which defines the allowable cable characteristics for that technology. To account for all of the possible cable plant scenarios, a "near worst-case cable plant" which is very close to the limit of the TIA/EIA cable standards is used. The cable plants are tuned to be between 10-13% above the margins specified in ANSI-TIA-EIA-568-B-2001 or other applicable specifications. Tests can also performed using s shorter patch cable to insure that short links between devices are also viable.

Organization of Tests

The tests contained in this document are organized to simplify the identification of information related to a test and to facilitate in the actual testing process. Each test contains an identification section that describes the test and provides cross reference information. The discussion section covers background information and specifies why the test is to be performed. Tests are grouped in order to reduce setup time in the lab environment. Each test contains the following information:

Test Number

The Test Number associated with each test follows a simple grouping structure. Listed first is the Test Group Number followed by the test's number within the group. This allows for the addition of future tests to the appropriate groups of the test suite without requiring the renumbering of the subsequent tests.

Purpose

The purpose is a brief statement outlining what the test attempts to achieve. The test is written at the functional level.

The University of New Hampshire InterOperability Laboratory

References

The references section lists cross references to the IEEE 802.3 standards and other documentation that might be helpful in understanding and evaluating the test and results.

Resource Requirements

The requirements section specifies the hardware and test equipment that will be needed to perform the test. The items contained in this section are special test devices or other facilities, which may not be available on all devices.

Last Modification

This specifies the date of the last modification to this test.

Discussion

The discussion covers the assumptions made in the design or implementation of the test as well as known limitations. Other items specific to the test are covered here.

Test Setup

The setup section describes the configuration of the test environment. Small changes in the configuration should be included in the test procedure.

Procedure

The procedure section of the test description contains the step-by-step instructions for carrying out the test. It provides a cookbook approach to testing, and may be interspersed with observable results.

Observable Results

The observable results section lists observables that can be examined by the tester to verify that the DUT is operating properly. When multiple values are possible for an observable, this section provides a short discussion on how to interpret them. The determination of a pass or fail for a certain test is often based on the successful (or unsuccessful) detection of a certain observable.

Possible Problems

This section contains a description of known issues with the test procedure, which may effect test results in certain situations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	I
MODIFICATION RECORD	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	III
INTRODUCTION	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
SECTION 1: SYSTEM LEVEL INTEROPERABILITY	1
<i>GROUP 1: POINT-TO-POINT INTEROPERABILITY TEST SUITE</i>	1
TEST #1.1.1: EXTRACTED TEST #1.1.1: LINK SPEED DETECTION	2
TEST #1.1.2: THREE PAIR CABLE TEST	5
SECTION 2: AUTO-NEGOTIATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY	7
<i>GROUP 1: ABILITY ADVERTISEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEST SUITE</i>	7
TEST #2.1.1: EXTRACTED TEST #1.1: AUTO-NEGOTIATION ON/OFF	8
TEST #2.1.2: EXTRACTED TEST #1.2: BASE PAGE TECHNOLOGY ABILITY FIELD	10
TEST #2.1.3: EXTRACTED TEST #1.3: 1000BASE-T ABILITIES	11
<i>GROUP 2: PRIORITY RESOLUTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEST SUITE</i>	13
TEST #2.2.1: EXTRACTED TEST #2.1: SPEED RESOLUTION AND VERIFICATION	14
TEST #2.2.2: EXTRACTED TEST #2.2: DUPLEX RESOLUTION AND VERIFICATION	16
TEST #2.2.3: EXTRACTED TEST #2.4: 1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE RESOLUTION	18
<i>GROUP 3: PARALLEL DETECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEST SUITE</i>	20
TEST #2.3.1: EXTRACTED TEST #3.1: PARALLEL DETECTION OF 10BASE-T DEVICES	21
TEST #2.3.2: EXTRACTED TEST #3.2: PARALLEL DETECTION OF 100BASE-TX DEVICES	23
<i>GROUP 4: GENERIC MANAGEMENT LEVEL NEXT PAGE FUNCTIONALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEST SUITE</i>	25
TEST #2.4.1: EXTRACTED TEST #4.1: NEXT PAGE BIT	26
TEST #2.4.2: EXTRACTED TEST #4.2: NULL MESSAGE PAGE GENERATION	28
TEST #2.4.3: EXTRACTED TEST #4.3: RECEPTION OF NEXT PAGES	30
<i>GROUP 5: 1000BASE-T NEXT PAGE FUNCTIONALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEST SUITE</i>	32
TEST #2.5.1: EXTRACTED TEST #5.1: 1000BASE-T MESSAGE AND UNFORMATTED PAGE TRANSMISSION ORDER	33
TEST #2.5.2: EXTRACTED TEST #5.2: CONTENT AND FORMAT OF 1000BASE-T UP1 AND UP2 34	

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

TEST #2.5.3: EXTRACTED TEST #5.3: 1000BASE-T MESSAGE PAGE RECEPTION _____	36
TEST #2.5.4: EXTRACTED TEST #5.4: 1000BASE-T UP2 RECEPTION _____	38
APPENDIX A: CABLE PLANT SPECIFICATIONS _____	40
MEDIA DAY _____	41
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS _____	42

SECTION 1: SYSTEM LEVEL INTEROPERABILITY

Scope: These tests focus on two areas of functionality to simulate a real-world environment: the ability to detect and establish a link at the optimal speed between two devices that make up a link segment, and the behavior of two devices attempting to establish a 1000BASE-T link over an inadequate cabling infrastructure.

GROUP 1: POINT-TO-POINT Interoperability Test Suite

Scope: The following tests cover Physical layer interoperability specific to 10BASE-T, 100BASE-TX, and 1000BASE-T devices.

Overview: These tests are designed to identify problems that IEEE 802.3 compliant devices may have in establishing a link and exchanging packets with each other.

Test #1.1.1: Extracted Test #1.1.1: Link Speed Detection

Purpose: To determine if the DUT establishes the best possible link with a reference set of stations.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std. 802.3, 2002 Edition Clause 28.2.3.3
- [2] Annex 28B.3

Resource Requirements:

- A reference set of stations that can be used as link partners.
- Link monitoring facilities that are able to determine the signaling being used on the link.
- Local management indicators on the DUT and reference set that indicate the state of the link as perceived by the different stations.
- A channel with known characteristics within allowable margins.

Last Modification: June 4, 2002

Discussion: The ability to detect and establish a link at the optimal speed is dependent on the two devices that make up the link segment, and providing and detecting the signaling method or connection information being passed. The large majority of Fast Ethernet and Gigabit Ethernet products use IEEE Std. 802.3, 2002 Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation while some use different proprietary schemes to detect the link partner's speed or do not detect link speed at all. This test procedure addresses three conditions in which link speed detection should work. The first procedure covers the case where the DUT is initialized before the remote station and there is no signal on the DUT's receiver. The second procedure covers the case where the DUT is initialized after the remote station and there is a signal from this remote station on the DUT's receiver. The third procedure covers the final case where the DUT is in an operational state and is connected to a station that is also in an operational state. These three conditions are checked, as there may be different signals on the line during the boot up sequences of the devices that could cause the DUT to detect and establish a link at the wrong speed.

This test is an interoperability test. Failure of this test does not mean that the DUT is non-conformant. It does suggest that a problem in the ability of two devices to work "properly" together exists and further work should be done to isolate the cause of the failure.

Table 1-1 Minimum Media Specifications by Technology (UTP)

Technology	Media Type
10BASE-T	Category-3
100BASE-TX	Category-5
1000BASE-T	Category-5

Test Setup: Connect the DUT to another device via the appropriate media channel as outlined in Table 1-1, while supplying power over the channel (if possible).

Procedure:

Part A

Case 1: The DUT receives no signal from the link partner during initialization.

1. Power off the DUT and the link partner.
2. Connect a compliant high attenuation media channel (Refer to Table 1-1 and Table A-1) between the two devices.
3. Power on the DUT and ensure that the device is initialized and all needed drivers are loaded.
4. Power on the test link partner and verify that it is initialized and all needed drivers are loaded.
5. Check local management information to verify that the link is established at the proper speed and that link auto-negotiation, if supported, negotiated the optimal common values for the two devices.
6. Send the DUT a series of packets and observe whether the packets are accepted or not.

Case 2: The DUT receives signal from the link partner during initialization.

1. Power off the DUT and the link partner.
2. Connect a compliant high attenuation media channel (Refer to Table 1-1 and Table A-1) between the two devices.
3. Power on the link partner and ensure that the device is initialized and all needed drivers are loaded.
4. Power on the DUT and verify that it is initialized and all needed drivers are loaded. Check local management information to verify that the link is established at the proper speed and that link auto-negotiation, if supported, negotiated the optimal common values for the two devices.
5. Send the DUT a series of packets and observe whether the packets are accepted or not.

Case 3: The DUT establishes link with a fully powered and operational link partner.

1. Power off the DUT and the link partner.
2. Power both devices back on at the same time and allow them to initialize.
3. Connect a compliant high attenuation media channel (Refer to Table 1-1 and Table A-1) between the devices.
4. Verify that a proper link is established as in Cases 1 and 2.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

5. Remove and hold the cable for a few seconds, then reinsert. Repeat five times. Check local management information to verify that the link came up at the proper speed and that link auto-negotiation, if supported, negotiated the optimal common values for the two devices.
6. Send the DUT a series of packets and observe whether the packets are accepted or not.

Part B

1. Establish a valid Highest Common Denominator (HCD) link between the DUT and link partner via a compliant high attenuation media channel (Refer to Table 1-1 and Table A-1). Verify that a valid HCD link is established.
2. Break the link and connect the DUT to a testing station configured to send link signaling at a speed other than the HCD.
3. Reconnect the DUT to the link partner. Verify that a valid HCD link is re-established.
4. Repeat steps 1-3 for all speeds supported by the link partner.

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT and the link partner should establish an HCD link in all cases. Both the DUT and link partner must be examined for indicators of proper link speed and type. This is typically an LED that indicates when a link is established. Many devices provide some indication of link speed as well. Local management may provide information about configuration such as link duplex status as well as link speed.
- b. The DUT should establish an HCD link as described in part a.

Possible Problems: If management access is not provided, it may be difficult to determine if the DUT resolves a link at the proper speed.

Test #1.1.2: Three Pair Cable Test

Purpose: To determine if the DUT establishes a link with a 1000BASE-T capable device connected over a cable that does not have all four pairs connected.

References:

[1] IEEE Std. 802.3, 2002 Edition – subclauses 28.2.3.2, 28.2.3.3, Annex 28B.2

Resource Requirements:

- **Line Monitor:** A system capable of detecting and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The monitor should allow the NLPs to pass through while minimally impacting the channel.
- **Traffic Generator:** A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) as well as valid link signaling and frames for 10/100/1000BASE-T and recording received frames while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: August 18, 2003

Discussion: The ability to detect and establish a link at the optimal speed is dependant on the two devices that make up the link segment, and providing and detecting the signaling method or connection information being passed. The large majority of Fast Ethernet and Gigabit Ethernet products use IEEE Std. 802.3, 2002 Clause 28 Auto-Negotiation while some use different proprietary schemes to detect the link partner's speed or do not detect speed at all. This test procedure addresses a condition where the optimal link speed between two devices cannot be achieved over the channel being used.

This test is an interoperability test. Failure of this test does not mean that the DUT is non-conformant. It does suggest that a problem in the ability of the DUT to work "properly" exists and further work should be done to isolate the cause of the failure.

Test Setup: Using a Cat 5 cable with pair 4,5 disconnected, connect the DUT to the Traffic Generator through the Line Monitor.

Procedure:

1. Set the DUT and the Traffic Generator to advertise all possessed abilities.
2. Connect the DUT to the Traffic Generator and observe whether the DUT establishes a link.
3. If a link is established, the Traffic Generator should provide appropriate link signaling to the DUT. Cause the DUT to transmit a frame and upon transmission, source a frame from the Traffic Generator. Observe transmissions from the DUT.

Observable Results:

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

- a. If the DUT does establish a link, it should be at the highest non-1000BASE-T speed supported by the DUT.

Possible Problems: None

SECTION 2: AUTO-NEGOTIATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY

Scope: These tests focus on examining the functionality of the Auto-Negotiation management entity of a device.

GROUP 1: ABILITY ADVERTISEMENT Management System Test Suite

Scope: The following tests cover Auto-Negotiation operation specific to capability advertisement.

Overview: These tests are designed to verify that the management entity properly controls the transmission and content of FLPs.

Test #2.1.1: Extracted Test #1.1: Auto-Negotiation on/off

Purpose: To verify that when management enables or disables Auto-Negotiation, that the Device Under Test (DUT) responds to management by transmitting FLPs or the appropriate link signaling, respectively.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 22.2.4.1.4

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.

Last Modification: February 1, 2001

Discussion: In some implementations, management allows the user to enable or disable Auto-Negotiation. If the user chooses to disable Auto-Negotiation, then the DUT should cease transmission of FLPs if it has not completed the Auto-Negotiation process, wait a period of time, and start to source appropriate link signaling. When a device has Auto-Negotiation disabled, it should never transmit FLPs. If the DUT has established a manual link, when Auto-Negotiation is enabled the DUT should cease transmission, wait a period of time (at least `break_link_timer`), and source FLPs. When a device has Auto-Negotiation enabled, it should always transmit FLPs when the link is broken (it has not established a link), and it should not transmit Idle until the correct stage of the Auto-Negotiation process. Note: Some devices may choose to periodically restart Auto-Negotiation, resulting in gaps in FLP transmission of at least `break_link_timer` in length. It is recommended that the DUT's management does not automatically switch between Auto-Negotiation and a manual/fixed-speed link as this may lead to sub-optimal links.

Test Setup: Using a Cat 5 cord, connect the DUT's transmitter to the Line Monitor. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Via management, enable Auto-Negotiation.
2. Observe transmissions from the DUT.
3. Disable Auto-Negotiation and repeat steps 2 and 3.

Observable Results:

- a. When Auto-Negotiation is enabled, the DUT should attempt to establish a link via the Auto-Negotiation process by sending FLPs, and should not transmit appropriate link signaling until the DUT enters the FLP LINK GOOD state.
- b. When Auto-Negotiation is disabled, the DUT should cease current transmission and commence transmission of appropriate link signaling.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Possible Problems: If the DUT's management does not allow Auto-Negotiation to be turned off, this test cannot be performed.

Test #2.1.2: Extracted Test #1.2: Base Page Technology Ability Field

Purpose: To verify that the DUT's management advertises valid technology ability field codes.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 28.2.1.1.1, 28.2.1.2, 28.2.1.2.1, 28.2.1.2.2, 28.2.1.2.3, 28.2.1.2.4, 28.2.1.2.5, Annex 28A, Annex 28B, Annex 28B.1, Annex 28B.2

Resource Requirements:

- **Line Monitor:** A system capable of detecting and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The monitor should allow the NLPs to pass through while minimally impacting the channel.

Last Modification: February 1, 2001

Discussion: This test is designed to verify that the DUT transmits Link Code Words whose technology ability field accurately reflects the capabilities of the device and the current management settings. The technology ability field reflects speed capabilities of the physical layer, duplex capabilities of the MAC layer, and flow control capabilities of the MAC control layer. A device must never advertise capabilities that it does not possess. Through management, the user often has the ability to specify which capabilities are desired which may further restrict the abilities advertised. In this test, the technology ability field advertised by the device is verified to be within the capability set of the device, and reflects the desired mode set in management.

Test Setup: Using a Cat 5 cord, connect the DUT's transmitter to the Line Monitor. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Configure the DUT to send FLP bursts.
2. Monitor the transmitted bursts.
3. Observe the data present in several bursts.
4. If available via the DUT's management, modify the desired (advertised) ability. This may include turning on/off: 10BASE-T half duplex, 10BASE-T full duplex, 100BASE-TX half duplex, 100BASE-TX full duplex, PAUSE, and ASYM_DIR PAUSE. For each modifiable ability, repeat steps 1-3.

Observable Results:

- a. The technology ability field should advertise the proper abilities as indicated in Table 28-10 for the device's capability and current management setting.
- b. The DUT should not advertise any abilities that it does not possess

Possible Problems: None.

Test # 2.1.3: Extracted Test #1.3: 1000BASE-T Abilities

Purpose: To verify that the management of a 1000BASE-T DUT advertises valid capabilities.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 - subclause 40.5.1.2, Annex 28B, Annex 28B.1, Annex 28B.2, Table 40-4

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The monitor should allow the NLPs to pass through while minimally impacting the channel.
- NLP Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs).

Last Modification: February 1, 2001

Discussion: This test is designed to verify that the 1000BASE-T DUT transmits capabilities that accurately reflect the capabilities of the device and the current management settings. A device must never advertise capabilities that it does not possess. Through management, the user may have the ability to specify which capabilities are desired which further restricts the abilities advertised. In this test, the 1000BASE-T capabilities advertised by the device in the first Unformatted Next Page (UP1) are verified to be within the capability set of the device, and reflect the desired mode set in management.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the NLP Generator to the Line Monitor such that the Traffic Generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Use the NLP Generator to complete the exchange of the first two pages (Base Page & 1000-T Message Page) sent by the DUT during Auto-Negotiation.
2. Monitor the third page, which should be the first Unformatted Page sent by the DUT (UP1).
3. Observe the data present in UP1.
4. If available via the DUT's management, modify the desired (advertised) ability. This may include turning on/off: 1000BASE-T half duplex, 1000BASE-T full duplex, Manual Master, Manual Slave. For each modifiable ability, repeat steps 1-3.

Observable Results:

- a. UP1 should advertise the proper abilities as indicated in Table 40-4 for the device's capability and current management setting.
 - The DUT should not advertise any abilities that it does not possess.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

- The 1000BASE-T port type bit (bit U2 in UP1, multiport/singleport) should be set appropriately for the DUT. Note: A multi-port device is considered to be any internetworking device with multiple ports, even if only one port supports 1000BASE-T.

Possible Problems: None.

GROUP 2: PRIORITY RESOLUTION Management System Test Suite

Scope: The following tests cover Auto-Negotiation operation specific to Priority Resolution.

Overview: These tests are designed to verify that when a link is configured upon completion of Auto-Negotiation, it is resolved properly based on the received capabilities from the link partner and the advertised abilities from the device under test. Resolutions examined include:

-SPEED RESOLUTION

-DUPLEX RESOLUTION

-1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE RESOLUTION

Test #2.2.1: Extracted Test #2.1: Speed Resolution and Verification

Purpose: To verify that the DUT resolves a link to the highest speed possible.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 28.2.3.3, Annex 28B.3

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- NLP Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: February 1, 2001

Discussion: When a device has completed the Auto-Negotiation process, before a link can be established, the device must resolve the link in a certain way. This link resolution depends upon the abilities of the two devices connected and the priority of these abilities. With regards to speed, the order of priority (highest to lowest) is as follows: 1000BASE-T full duplex, 1000BASE-T half duplex, 100BASE-T2 full duplex, 100BASE-TX full duplex, 100BASE-T2 half duplex, 100BASE-T4, 100BASE-TX half duplex, 10BASE-T full duplex, and 10BASE-T half duplex. Two devices should resolve a link to the highest common speed between the devices.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the NLP Generator to the Line Monitor such that the Traffic Generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. If necessary, configure the DUT to advertise all supported technology speeds.
2. Provide a series of FLPs to the DUT advertising a single speed, such that the DUT will enter the FLP LINK GOOD CHECK state. To advertise some technologies, a Next Page exchange may be required, this would require the DUT to support Next Page exchange and the Base Page sent to the DUT would have to be followed by the appropriate Message Page and Unformatted Pages required by the technology.
3. Observe transmissions from the DUT.

Part a:

4. Repeat steps 2&3 varying the abilities to be received by the DUT to the abilities: 10BASE-T (full duplex & half duplex), 100BASE-TX (full duplex & half duplex), 100BASE-T4, 100BASE-T2(full duplex & half duplex), 1000BASE-T(full duplex & half duplex).

Part b:

5. Repeat steps 2 and 3 but modify step 2 such that all abilities that the DUT supports are advertised in the FLPs received by the DUT.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Part c:

6. Repeat steps 2 and 3, but advertise no speed.

Observable Results:

- a. In step 4, the DUT should attempt to establish a link only when a supported technology is advertised, and should refuse a link when an unsupported technology is advertised.
- b. In step 5, the DUT should attempt to establish a link at the highest common speed.
- c. In step 6, the DUT should not establish a link with a device that does not advertise any abilities.

Possible Problems: If the DUT does not support Next Page exchange, then the 100BASE-T2 and 1000BASE-T abilities cannot be tested.

Test #2.2.2: Extracted Test #2.2: Duplex Resolution and Verification

Purpose: To verify that the DUT will resolve a link to the highest common duplex mode.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 28.2.3.3, Annex 28.B3

Resource Requirements:

- **Line Monitor:** A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- **Traffic Generator:** A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) as well as valid link signaling and frames for 10/100/1000BASE-T and recording received frames while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: June 15, 2001

Discussion: When a device has completed the Auto-Negotiation process, before a link can be established, the device must resolve the link in a certain way (depending on the abilities of the two devices connected and the priority of these abilities) in order to establish an optimal link. A full duplex link takes priority over a half duplex link and devices configured for both duplexes should always establish a full duplex link.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the Traffic Generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Configure the DUT for all supported duplex settings (full and half) in all supported speeds.
2. Establish a link with the DUT by sending FLPs advertising full duplex only at one supported speed.
3. For Speeds >100Mbps:
 - Observe frames less than 512-bytes in length transmitted from the DUT.For Speeds <=100Mbps:
 - When the DUT enters the FLP LINK GOOD CHECK state, the Traffic Generator should provide the appropriate link signaling to the DUT. Cause the DUT to transmit a frame and upon transmission, source a frame from the Traffic Generator. Observe the transmission from the DUT.
4. Repeat steps 1-3 but change the Traffic Generator to advertise half duplex only, full and half duplex, and no duplex.
5. Repeat steps 1-4 for all different speeds that the DUT supports.

Observable Results:

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

- a. The DUT should always resolve a full duplex link if both devices have the Full Duplex bit set.
- b. The DUT should resolve a half duplex link whenever both devices only support half duplex.
- c. The DUT should not establish a link if there is no common duplex mode.

Possible Problems: None

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Test #2.2.3: Extracted Test #2.4: 1000BASE-T Master-Slave Resolution

Purpose: To verify that the MASTER-SLAVE configuration for 1000BASE-T links is resolved properly according to Table 40-5

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 40.5.2, Table 40-5

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- NLP Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: June 15, 2001

Discussion: Before a 1000BASE-T link can be established between two devices, one device must resolve to be the MASTER, and the other device must resolve to be the SLAVE. During the Next Page exchange, one Message Page and two Unformatted Pages are transmitted. The two Unformatted Pages contain, among other abilities, information that is used to decide the MASTER-SLAVE resolution. Bit U2 of the first Unformatted Page shows whether the device is a single-port or multiport device, and bits U1 and U0 of this page hold the manual configuration values for MASTER-SLAVE resolution. The second Unformatted Page contains a random MASTER-SLAVE seed in bits U10:U0. The two devices must resolve the link according to Table 40-5, which is printed here for convenience.

Local Device Type	Remote Device Type	Local Device Resolution	Remote Device Resolution
single-port device	multiport device	SLAVE	MASTER
single-port device	manual_MASTER	SLAVE	MASTER
Manual_SLAVE	manual_MASTER	SLAVE	MASTER
Manual_SLAVE	multiport device	SLAVE	MASTER
Multiport device	manual_MASTER	SLAVE	MASTER
Manual_SLAVE	single-port device	SLAVE	MASTER
Multiport device	single-port device	MASTER	SLAVE
Multiport device	manual_SLAVE	MASTER	SLAVE
Manual_MASTER	manual_SLAVE	MASTER	SLAVE
Manual_MASTER	single-port device	MASTER	SLAVE
single-port device	manual_SLAVE	MASTER	SLAVE
Manual_MASTER	multiport device	MASTER	SLAVE
Multiport device	multiport device	The device with the higher SEED value is configured as MASTER, otherwise SLAVE.	The device with the higher SEED value is configured as MASTER, otherwise SLAVE.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

single-port device	single-port device	The device with the higher SEED value is configured as MASTER, otherwise SLAVE.	The device with the higher SEED value is configured as MASTER, otherwise SLAVE.
manual_SLAVE	manual_SLAVE	MASTER-SLAVE configuration fault	MASTER-SLAVE configuration fault
manual_MASTER	manual_MASTER	MASTER-SLAVE configuration fault	MASTER-SLAVE configuration fault

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the NLP Generator to the Line Monitor such that the Traffic Generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

Part a:

1. Send a series of FLPs to proceed through the Auto-Negotiation process with the first Unformatted Page containing U2:U0 set to 100, and the second Unformatted Page having bits U10:U0 set to a random value.
2. Check whether the DUT has resolved the link to be MASTER or SLAVE by observing if 1000BASE-T Idle signaling is transmitted.
3. Repeat steps 1 and 2, changing the contents of the Next Pages such that the different resolutions, shown in Table 40-5 are tested.

Part b:

4. Repeat steps 1 and 2, with the first Unformatted Page containing U2:U0 set to the same value found in the DUT's first Unformatted Page, and the second Unformatted Page having bits U10:U0 set to the same random value found in the DUT's second Unformatted Page.

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT should resolve the link according to Table 40-5.
- b. The DUT should stop sending its second Unformatted Page as soon as it receives the same Unformatted Page (with the same seed value), go through break_link_timer, and send FLPs with its default Base Page.

Possible Problems: Currently the Line Monitor is relied on to detect "activity" on pairs 1,2 & 3,6 indicating 1000BASE-T signaling sent by a Master device during startup training. It is possible that for some devices, the 1000BASE-T transmitted signaling may not be seen as activity by the Line Monitor, in which case, an oscilloscope should be used to determine the presence of 1000BASE-T signaling.

GROUP 3: PARALLEL DETECTION Management System Test Suite

Scope: The following tests cover Auto-Negotiation operation specific to Parallel Detection.

Overview: These tests are designed to verify that a capable device properly detects a fixed speed connection and connects at half duplex.

Test #2.3.1: Extracted Test #3.1: Parallel Detection of 10BASE-T Devices

Purpose: To verify that the DUT can properly parallel detect a fixed speed 10BASE-T Link Partner.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 14.3.1.2.1, 28.2.2.2, 28.2.3.1, 28.4

Resource Requirements:

- Test Station: A system capable of generating and transmitting 10BASE-T LTPs and frames, as well as recording 10BASE-T frames and fragments.

Last Modification: June 19, 2001

Discussion: A station capable of Auto-Negotiation should be capable of detecting a 10BASE-T device as its link partner solely on the receipt of 10BASE-T normal link pulses (NLPs). When a 10BASE-T device is detected, the station should either enable its 10BASE-T PMA and establish a link if supported, or simply not allow a link to be established if not supported.

Test Setup: Using a Cat 5 cord, connect the DUT to the Test Station.

Procedure:

1. Use the Test Station to simulate the presence of a non-auto-negotiating 10BASE-T device by sending the DUT 10BASE-T LTPs.
2. If the DUT has a 10BASE-T PMA:
 - 2a. Verify that it is enabled by determining whether a link is established. Send the DUT a packet and observe whether the packet is accepted or not.
 - 2b. Cause the DUT to transmit a packet. Upon transmission, transmit a colliding packet to the DUT.
 - 2c. If possible, via management, disable the advertisement of 10BASE-T half duplex ability in the DUT's Base Page. Repeat step 2a.
3. If the DUT has no 10BASE-T PMA:
 - 3a. Verify that no link is established.

Observable Results:

- a. If the DUT supports a 10BASE-T PMA, a link should be established. If not, a link should be refused.
- b. If the DUT supports a 10BASE-T PMA, step 2b should cause the DUT to transmit a 10BASE-T collision fragment, indicating that the DUT has properly parallel detected a half duplex connection.
- c. **INFORMATIVE:** If the DUT supports a 10BASE-T PMA, and the DUT's management allows 10BASE-T half duplex advertisement to be disabled, then the DUT's management may also prevent the Parallel Detection of 10BASE-T.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Possible Problems: If the DUT's management does not allow 10BASE-T half duplex advertisement to be disabled, part c of this test cannot be performed.

Test #2.3.2: Extracted Test #3.2: Parallel Detection of 100BASE-TX Devices

Purpose: To verify that the DUT can properly parallel detect a fixed speed 100BASE-TX Link Partner.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 28.2.3.1

Resource Requirements:

- Test Station: A system capable of generating and transmitting 100BASE-TX Idle and frames, as well as recording 100BASE-TX frames and fragments.

Last Modification: September 7, 1999

Discussion: A station capable of Auto-Negotiation should also implement the Parallel Detection function. This provides for the detection of a 100BASE-TX fixed speed device before the detection of FLPs. In this case, a station should either enable its 100BASE-TX PMA if supported and establish a link, or otherwise not allow a link to be established. This test is designed to verify that the DUT properly handles the presence of a fixed speed 100BASE-TX device as a Link Partner.

Test Setup: Using a Cat 5 cord, connect the DUT to the Test Station.

Procedure:

1. Use the Test Station to simulate the presence of a non-auto-negotiating 100BASE-TX device by sending the DUT 100BASE-TX Idle.
2. If the DUT has a 100BASE-TX PMA:
 - 2a. Verify that it is enabled by determining whether a link is established. Send the DUT a packet and observe whether the packet is accepted or not.
 - 2b. Cause the DUT to transmit a packet. Upon transmission, transmit a colliding packet to the DUT.
 - 2c. If possible, via management, disable the advertisement of 100BASE-TX half duplex ability in the DUT's Base Page. Repeat step 2a.
3. If the DUT has no 100BASE-TX PMA:
 - 3a. Verify that no link is established.

Observable Results:

- a. If the DUT supports a 100BASE-TX PMA, a link should be established. If not, a link should be refused.
- b. If the DUT supports a 100BASE-TX PMA, step 2b should cause the DUT to transmit a 100BASE-TX collision fragment, indicating that the DUT has properly parallel detected a half duplex connection.
- c. **INFORMATIVE:** If the DUT supports a 100BASE-TX PMA, and the DUT's management allows 100BASE-TX half duplex advertisement to be disabled, then the DUT's management may also prevent the Parallel Detection of 100BASE-TX.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Possible Problems: If the DUT's management does not allow 100BASE-TX half duplex advertisement to be disabled, part c cannot be performed.

**GROUP 4: GENERIC MANAGEMENT LEVEL NEXT PAGE
FUNCTIONALITY Management System Test Suite**

Scope: The following tests cover generic Auto-Negotiation operation specific to the exchange of Next Pages.

Overview: These tests are designed to verify the device's management properly controls the Next Page exchange, regardless of the actual meaning of the Next Pages exchanged.

Test #2.4.1: Extracted Test #4.1: Next Page Bit

Purpose: To verify that the DUT makes proper use of the Next Page bit throughout the Next Page exchange process.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 28.2.1.2, 28.2.1.2.5, 28.2.3.4, 28.2.3.4.1, 28.2.3.4.2, 28.2.3.4.11

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: September 7, 1999

Discussion: During the exchange of Next Pages, the NP bit plays a simple role. It is to have the value 1 if a station has further Next Pages to transmit, and 0 if it is transmitting its last page of information. Once both a device and its link partner have transmitted all of their Next Pages, the Next Page process should end, and a link should be established. If a device's partner finishes transmitting Message or Unformatted Pages before the device and is sending out Null Message Pages, then the device should go directly from its last Message or Unformatted Page to a link. If both devices transmit their last Message or Unformatted Page at the same time, both should go straight to a link and no Null Message Pages should be sent. This test is designed to verify two aspects of the Next Page process: (1) that the DUT properly sets the NP bit throughout the Next Page process, and (2) that the DUT does not transmit any Null Message Pages if its link partner finishes transmitting its Message and Unformatted Pages before or at the same time as the DUT.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

Part a: Send More Next Pages than DUT has to transmit

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send the DUT validly formed Base and Next Pages with NP=1 and proper toggle bit values. Continue sending new Next Pages until the DUT sets NP=0 in its outgoing Pages.
2. Send one additional Next Page with NP=1, followed by a final Next Page with NP=0.
3. Monitor the next pages transmitted by the DUT. Count the number ('n') of Next Pages (not FLPs) sent by the DUT.

Part b: Send same number of Next Pages as DUT has to transmit

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

4. Repeat step 1 above, but send 'n' Next Pages to the DUT (where 'n' is the value found in part a, the number of pages the DUT transmits), setting NP=0 in the final Next Page sent to the DUT.
5. Monitor the Next Pages transmitted by the DUT.

Part c: Send Fewer Next Pages than DUT has to transmit

6. Use the Traffic Generator to send the DUT validly formed Base and 'n' Next Pages. All Next Pages sent by the Traffic Generator should be Null Message pages with NP=0.
7. Monitor the next pages transmitted by the DUT.

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT should keep the NP bit set in all but the last three Next Pages sent by the DUT.
- b. The DUT should keep the NP bit set in all but the last Next Page sent by the DUT.
- c. The DUT should keep the NP bit set in all but the last Next Page sent by the DUT.

Possible Problems: If the DUT does not desire a Next Page exchange, then this test cannot be performed.

Test #2.4.2: Extracted Test #4.2: Null Message Page Generation

Purpose: To verify that the DUT transmits proper Null Message Pages if it completes sending Message and Unformatted Pages before its link partner.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 28.2.3.4, 28.2.3.4.1, 28.2.3.4.7, 28.2.3.4.8, 28.2.3.4.11, Annex 28C, Annex 28C.2

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: September 7, 1999

Discussion: Once a device has finished sending Message and Unformatted Next Pages, it is required to send Null Message Pages until its link partner is done as well. A Null Message Page is defined as a Message Page that contains the Null Message code 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 (bits M[10:0]). This test is designed to verify that once the DUT completes its transmission of Message and Unformatted Pages, it sends out valid Null Message Pages until its link partner is done as well. Alternatively, a device may solely transmit Next Pages containing Null Message codes. This would allow a device with no Next Page data to transmit to still receive Next Page information from its link partner.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100 Ω line termination.

Procedure:

Part a: Send More Next Pages than DUT has to transmit

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send the DUT validly formed Base and Next Pages with NP=1 and proper toggle bit values. Continue sending new Next Pages until the DUT sets NP=0 in its outgoing Pages.
2. Send one additional Next Page with NP=1, followed by a final Next Page with NP=0.
3. Monitor the next pages transmitted by the DUT. Count the number ('n') of Next Pages (not FLPs) sent by the DUT.

Part b: Send same number of Next Pages as DUT has to transmit

4. Repeat step 1 above, but send 'n' Next Pages to the DUT (where 'n' is the value found in part a, the number of pages the DUT transmits), setting NP=0 in the final Next Page sent to the DUT.
5. Monitor the Next Pages transmitted by the DUT.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT's last two Next Pages should contain validly formed Null Message Pages.
- b. **INFORMATIVE:** When both devices exchange the same number of Next Pages, there is no need to transmit Null Message Pages. However, if a device did do this, it would be considered acceptable (though wasteful) as long as the page sent by the DUT before the Null Message Page still had NP=1.

Possible Problems: None.

Test #2.4.3: Extracted Test #4.3: Reception of Next Pages

Purpose: To verify that the DUT behaves acceptably upon receipt of Message and Unformatted Pages, for both existing Message Codes as well as reserved.

References:

- [1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 28.2.1.2, 28.2.3.4, 28.2.3.4.1, 28.2.3.4.4, 28.2.3.4.7, 28.2.3.4.8, 28.2.3.4.9, 28.2.3.4.10, 28.2.3.4.11, Annex 28C

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: September 7, 1999

Discussion: A device supporting Next Page exchange should be capable of receiving a wide variety of Next Pages from its link partner. It should properly accept all sequences of Message Pages and Unformatted Pages that are attainable from the defined Message Code fields in Annex 28C. However, it should also be capable of receiving Message and Unformatted Page combinations not yet defined by simply ignoring them. If defined and undefined combinations are mixed, the device should be able to recognize all of the Message Codes that are defined and ignore those that are not. Presently, there is no way of confirming whether a device ignores or accepts a Next Page, unless it prematurely restarts Auto-Negotiation or reports an error on the reception of an undefined page.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send the DUT validly formed Base and Next Pages with NP=1 and proper toggle bit values. The last page sent should have NP=0. Send the device the following sequence of Next Pages:
 - a. An Unformatted Page followed by Message Code 2 followed by one Unformatted Page.
 - b. Message Code 3 followed by two Unformatted Pages.
 - c. Message Code 4 followed by one Unformatted Page. (Repeat 5 times, where the value encoded in the Unformatted page is incremented from 0 to 4. For these sequences, in the Base Page, the Remote Fault bit should be set)
 - d. A Message Page containing an undefined encoding (#13) for the message code field followed by 3 Unformatted Pages followed by Message Code 5 followed by four Unformatted Pages

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

- e. Message Code 6 followed by four Unformatted pages.
- f. Message Code 8 followed by two Unformatted Pages followed by Message Code 7 followed by two Unformatted Pages.

In all cases, if the link partner has not finished transmission of Message and Unformatted Pages, transmit the appropriate number of Null Message Pages

- 2. Monitor the Next Pages transmitted by the DUT
- 3. Verify that the DUT concludes its Next Page exchange

Observable Results:

- a.-f. The DUT should accept all defined combinations by properly concluding the exchange of Next Pages (NP=0 in last non-Null Message Page) and attempt to establish a highest common denominator link. The DUT should ignore the Unformatted Pages not preceded by Message Pages as well as undefined Message Codes.

Possible Problems: If the device does not desire a Next Page exchange then this test cannot be performed. Presently, there is no way of confirming whether a device ignores or accepts a Next Page, unless it prematurely restarts Auto-Negotiation or management reports an error.

**GROUP 5: 1000BASE-T NEXT PAGE FUNCTIONALITY Management
System Test Suite**

Scope: The following tests cover Auto-Negotiation operation for specific to the exchange of Next Pages for 1000BASE-T capable devices.

Overview: These tests are designed to verify the proper transmission and reception of 1000BASE-T Message and Unformatted Pages.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Test #2.5.1: Extracted Test #5.1: 1000BASE-T Message and Unformatted Page Transmission Order

Purpose: To verify that the 1000BASE-T DUT transmits its Base Page, followed immediately by a properly formatted 1000BASE-T Message Page and two Unformatted Pages.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 - subclauses 28.2.3.4.4, 28.2.3.4.7, 40.5.1.2, Annex 28C

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: June 19, 2001

Discussion: 1000BASE-T devices desiring to advertise 1000BASE-T capabilities are required to send a 1000BASE-T Message Page immediately following completion of the Base Page exchange (refer to 40.5.1.2). The Message Code Field in the Message Page should contain the value “8” and the MP bit (D13) should be set to logic one. This Message Page must be followed by 2 Unformatted Pages, though the content of the Unformatted Code Field is not investigated in this test, the MP bit (D13) should be set to logic zero in these pages. This test verifies the transmission order of the pages sent from the DUT, the value of the MP bit in the transmitted pages, and the value of the Message Code Field in the Message Page.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator’s signaling will be seen by the DUT’s receiver. Terminate the DUT’s transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send enough FLPs to put the DUT through the COMPLETE ACKNOWLEDGE state, followed by enough Null Message Pages (with proper Toggle bit values) to allow the DUT to transmit all of its Next Pages.
2. Monitor the Next Pages transmitted by the DUT.

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT should transmit its Base Page, followed immediately by a Message Page, followed by two Unformatted Pages.
 - The Message Code Field should be “8” in the DUT’s Message Page.
 - The MP bit (D13 the 14th of the 16 bits) should be set to 1 in the first Next Page and 0 in the second and third pages.

Possible Problems: None

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Test #2.5.2: Extracted Test #5.2: Content and Format of 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2

Purpose: To verify that the DUT transmits two properly encoded Unformatted Message Pages after the 1000BASE-T Message Page.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclauses 40.5.1.2, Table 40-4

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: August 2, 1999

Discussion: Before two 1000BASE-T devices can establish a link, a Next Page exchange must be accomplished, consisting of one Message Page and two Unformatted Pages. The content of these Unformatted Pages is defined in Table 40-4, which is partially printed here for convenience.

Bit	Bit Definition	Register Location
Page 1 (Unformatted Next Page)		
U10:U5	Reserved transmit as 0	GMII register 9.8 (MASTER/SLAVE Control Register)
U4	1000BASE-T half duplex (1 = half duplex and 0 = no half duplex)	
U3	1000BASE-T full duplex (1 = full duplex and 0 = no full duplex)	GMII register 9.9 (MASTER/SLAVE Control Register)
U2	1000BASE-T port type bit (1 = multiport device and 0 = single-port device)	GMII register 9.10 (MASTER/SLAVE Control Register)
U1	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Manual Configuration value (1 = MASTER and 0 = SLAVE). This bit is ignored if 9.12 = 0.	GMII register 9.11 (MASTER/SLAVE Control Register)
U0	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Manual Configuration Enable (1 = Manual Configuration Enable). This bit is intended to be used for manual selection in a particular MASTER-SLAVE mode and is to be used in conjunction with bit 9.11	GMII register 9.12 (MASTER/SLAVE Control Register)
Page 2 (Unformatted Next Page)		
U10	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 10 (SB10) (MSB)	MASTER-SLAVE Seed Value (10:0)
U9	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 9 (SB9)	
U8	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 8 (SB8)	
U7	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 7 (SB7)	
U6	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 6 (SB6)	
U5	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 5 (SB5)	
U4	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 4 (SB4)	

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

U3	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 3 (SB3)	
U2	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 2 (SB2)	
U1	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 1 (SB1)	
U0	1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bit 0 (SB0)	

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Establish a connection (not a link) to the DUT.
2. Provide a series of FLPs to the DUT such that it will proceed through the Auto-Negotiation process and transmit both 1000BASE-T Unformatted Next Pages.
3. Observe transmissions from the DUT.
4. Repeat steps 1-3 several times.

Observable Results:

- a. The first Unformatted Page (UP1) should contain the proper encoding based on Table 40-4.
- b. The second Unformatted Page (UP2) should contain a random integer value based on Table 40-4. The DUT should change the value transmitted here each time the Auto-Negotiation process is completed.

Possible Problems: None.

Test #2.5.3: Extracted Test #5.3: 1000BASE-T Message Page Reception

Purpose: To verify that the DUT transmits properly formatted Message and Unformatted Pages.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition - subclause 40.5.1.2, Table 40.4, Annex 28C

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: January 3, 2002

Discussion: When attempting to establish a 1000BASE-T link using Auto-Negotiation, the transmitted Base Page must be followed immediately (refer to 40.5.1.2) by a 1000BASE-T Message Page (Message Code #8), followed by two Unformatted Pages following the assignments of Table 40.4. Any deviation from this sequence of pages should result in a failure to resolve a 1000BASE-T link (though non-1000BASE-T abilities advertised, such as in the Base Page, may still be resolved). The one exception to this would be a tolerant device that can receive a 1000BASE-T Message Page at any point in a Next Page exchange so long as two valid 1000BASE-T Unformatted Pages follow it immediately. Note: this capability is not a standards-based requirement.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send enough FLPs with NP=1 to put the DUT through the COMPLETE ACKNOWLEDGE state, followed by one of the sequences below. NP=0 in the last page transmitted to the DUT. Send the device the following sequence of Next Pages:
 - a. A Message Page with Message Code= 8, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose content conform to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2 pages.
 - b. A Message Page with Message Code= 7, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose content conform to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2 pages.
 - c. A Message Page with Message Code= 9, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose content conform to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2 pages.
 - d. A Message Page with Message Code= 20, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose Unformatted Codes are all zero, followed by a Message Page with Message Code= 8, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose content conform to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 (with Manual_SLAVE indicated) and UP2 pages.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

- e. A Message Page with Message Code= 8, followed by one Unformatted Page whose content conforms to a valid 1000BASE-T UP1 page, followed by a Null Message Page.
 - f. An Unformatted Page, with Unformatted Code= 8, followed by two Unformatted Pages whose content conform to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2 pages.
 - g. A Message Page with Message Code=8, followed by a Message Page whose code field conforms to a valid 1000BASE-T UP1 page, followed by an Unformatted Page conforming to UP2.
 - h. A Message Page with Message Code=8 followed by two Message Pages whose content conforms to valid 1000BASE-T UP1 and UP2 pages.
2. In all cases, if the link partner has not finished transmission of message and unformatted pages, transmit the appropriate number of null pages.
 3. For all cases, monitor the Next Pages transmitted by the DUT.

Observable Results:

- a. The DUT should attempt to establish a 1000BASE-T link.
- b. The DUT should not attempt to establish a 1000BASE-T link.
- c. The DUT should not attempt to establish a 1000BASE-T link.
- d. INFORMATIVE: A 1000BASE-T link may be attempted upon receipt of a valid sequence of 1000BASE-T Next Page sequence even though the 1000BASE-T Message Page was not received immediately after the Base Page transmission.
- e.-h. INFORMATIVE: The DUT should not attempt to establish a 1000BASE-T link when an invalid Message and Unformatted Page exchange occurs. However, it is acceptable behavior if the DUT attempts to establish a 1000BASE-T link when an incorrect setting of the Message Page bit is the only invalid part of the exchange.

Possible Problems: None.

Test #2.5.4: Extracted Test #5.4: 1000BASE-T UP2 Reception

Purpose: To verify that the DUT properly restarts Auto-Negotiation if the UP2 page of the DUT and UP2 page of the Link Partner both contain the same seed value, and that after seven consecutive failed Auto-Negotiation cycles, the DUT indicates a MASTER-SLAVE resolution fault.

References:

[1] IEEE Std 802.3, 2002 Edition – subclause 40.5.2, Table 40.4, Annex 28C

Resource Requirements:

- Line Monitor: A system capable of detecting, time-stamping, and recording normal link pulses (NLPs) on both the receive and transmit channels of the DUT. The channel signaling should pass through the line monitor with minimal distortion.
- Traffic Generator: A system capable of generating and transmitting normal link pulses (NLPs) and fast link pulses (FLPs) while connected to the receiver of the DUT.

Last Modification: September 7, 1999

Discussion: When attempting to establish a 1000BASE-T link using Auto-Negotiation, the transmitted Base Page must be followed immediately (refer to 40.5.1.2) by a 1000BASE-T Message Page (Message Code #8), followed by two Unformatted Pages following the assignments of Table 40.4. Bits U10:U0 of UP2 contain the 1000BASE-T MASTER-SLAVE Seed Bits. If both the DUT and the Link Partner are set to single port device, or if they are both set to multiport device, then the device with the higher seed value will resolve as MASTER, and the device with the smaller seed value will resolve to SLAVE. Should both devices contain the same seed value, both should assert link_status[1GigT]=FAIL, and a new cycle of Auto-Negotiation should be forced and a MASTER-SLAVE resolution fault shall be declared if resolution is not reached after the generation of seven seeds.

Test Setup: Using Cat 5 cords, connect the DUT and the Traffic Generator to the Line Monitor such that the traffic generator's signaling will be seen by the DUT's receiver. Terminate the DUT's transmit channel with a 100Ω line termination.

Procedure:

1. Use the Traffic Generator to send the correct number of properly formatted Base Page, 1000BASE-T Message Page, and UP1 to the DUT so that the DUT will transmit its Base Page followed by the 1000BASE-T Message Page and UP1.
2. When the DUT begins to send its third Next Page, UP2, use the Traffic Generator to send the same exact page (with NP bit forced to 0) to the DUT.
3. Use the Traffic Generator to continue sending properly formatted Null Message Pages for as many other pages as the DUT has to transmit.
4. Observe transmissions from the DUT.
5. Repeat steps 1-4, six more times.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Observable Results:

- a. No 1000BASE-T link attempt should occur after step 3. The DUT should cease transmitting FLPs and should then restart Auto-Negotiation.
- b. After step 5, bit 10.15 should be set to one.

Possible Problems: If access is not provided to the management registers, part b cannot be done.

APPENDIX A: CABLE PLANT SPECIFICATIONS

Category-5 Cable Test Environment

Since equalizers often tend to be optimized for particular cable conditions the test procedure uses both high attenuation and a low attenuation environment. The high attenuation testing is done over a Category-5 compliant channel attenuated to simulate a worst-case environment equivalent of 60 degrees (Refer to Table A-1). The low attenuation testing is done over a Category-5 compliant channel specified in Table A-1. Each of these channels must be tested to ensure that they meet the expected characteristics as defined by their associated standards.

Table A-1 UTP Channel Definitions

Technology	Media Type	Insertion Loss – Low (+/- 1 dB) ^a			Insertion Loss – High (+/- 1 dB) ^a		
		16 MHz	32 Mhz	100 Mhz	16 MHz	32 MHz	100 MHz
100BASE-TX	Category-5 UTP	9.9	14.2	25.7	0.6	1.0	1.2
1000BASE-T	Category-5 UTP	9.9	14.2	25.7	0.6	1.0	1.2

^aInsertion loss is the sum of channel attenuation and connector losses.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Media Day

Thursday, October 16, 2003, from 8:30AM to 1PM participating vendors are welcome to invite media and other UNH-IOL approved guests to view the test event. Please refer to the following list of Vendor Guidelines and Media Attendee Guidelines.

Vendor Guidelines

1. An NDA prevents vendor participants from disclosing confidential results of their or other products to the attending media. No specific details of product issues may be discussed or displayed.
2. Vendors with just cause may request to UNH-IOL that certain proposed media attendee's have limited or no access.
3. Vendors are not permitted to make competitive product pitches or distribute product literature at the event.
4. Vendors are asked to remain on topics of:
 - interoperability;
 - overall corporate support for Auto-Negotiation technologies;
 - the state of the industry;
 - general and non-detailed discussion of the events of the group test period;
 - other similarly respectful topics, consult UNH-IOL personnel as needed.
5. This list of guidelines is subject to change up to TWO weeks prior to the event.

Media Attendee Guidelines

1. All attendee's to Media Day must be registered TWO weeks in advance.
2. All attendee's agree to make their presence known to all participants TWO weeks in advance.
3. Attendee's may not access or touch vendor equipment without direct vendor consent and oversight.
4. No pictures permitted without consent of UNH-IOL and involved parties in photograph
5. This list of guidelines is subject to change up to TWO weeks prior to the event.

*The University of New Hampshire
InterOperability Laboratory*

Questions and Comments

Questions and Comments about this test plan should be directed to:

Jeremy Kent	jtKent@iol.unh.edu	603-862-1694
	and	
Jeff Lapak	jrlapak@iol.unh.edu	603-862-0239

or

Call the Fast & Gigabit Ethernet Consortiums lab phone directly at 603-862-0239